



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

CRM-M-1149-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: January 16, 2025

Ravi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Saurabh Savara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

CRM-690-2025:

For the reasons mentioned in the application, petitioner is
exempted from filing the Aadhar Card in the present proceedings.

Application stands disposed of.

CRM-M-1149-2025:

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the
BNSS, 2023, for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the
pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of
First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner	FIR No.	Date	Section	Police Station	District
Ravi s/o Binny	202	23.09.2022	S. 324 IPC (Later on, S. 307 IPC was added and S. 324 IPC deleted)	Civil Lines	Patiala



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially FIR under Section 324 IPC was registered with the allegation that the petitioner had caused a stab injury with knife, in the stomach of the complainant/injured – Sukhvir Singh. However, the petitioner was released on bail on that count. Further submits that it is much after i.e. about 1 year and 7 months, that the offence punishable under Section 307 IPC was added, based upon then available medical opinion, declaring the injury ‘dangerous to life’.

Thus, learned counsel for the petitioner argues that it is a debatable point as to whether after such huge delay, the actual injury can still be dangerous to life or not. He also submits that since the time of his arrest, after adding of Section 307 IPC, the petitioner is inside jail since 01.05.2024, i.e. for the last about 9 months. Moreover, the petitioner has no criminal antecedents and not involved in any other case, except the present FIR. Conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the eye-witness – Harman Kaur (sister of the complainant/injured) has already got her statement recorded. However, learned State counsel is unable to counter the contention that the offence under Section 307 IPC has been added at a much later stage.

4. Considering all the aspects and submissions of learned counsel for the parties having been recorded hereabove, the plea of bail of the petitioner is hereby accepted.

5. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his



furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/
Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned,
if not required in any other case.

6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any
threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner
directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as
an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is
expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available
on record.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

January 16, 2025
Pkapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**