



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-65477-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 19.09.2025

Aman @ Monu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sajan Advocate,
for Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. AG, Haryana.
for the respondent-State.

H.S.GREWEAL, J. (ORAL)**CRM-36536-2025**

CRM is for placing on record the statement of witnesses as
Annexure P-4.

Allowed, as prayed for.

Annexure P-4 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM-M-65477-2024

1. Petitioner is seeking the concession of regular bail under
Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of bail to the petitioner in case FIR
No.120 dated 28.04.2023, under Sections 307, 323, 324 read with Section 34
of the IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act added lateron, registered at Police
Station Ram Nagar, Karnal, Haryana.



2. The case of the prosecution is that petitioner along with co-accused have given stab injuries to the brother of the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that other co-accused/ juveniles have already been granted the concession of bail by the Juvenile Justice Board.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate dated 09.09.2025 of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per which, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years 04 month and 09 days. He further submits that the petitioner is involved in one more case. He further submits that out of 22 cited prosecution witnesses only 10 witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner is in custody for more than 02 years 04 month and 09 days; out of 22 cited prosecution witnesses only 10 witnesses have been examined so far, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the



satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

19.09.2025

(H.S.GREWAL)

mamta

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No