



CWP-35687-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-35687-2025

Date of Decision:22.12.2025

PUSHPA DEVI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND
OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate
for the petitioner through VC.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner's husband-Jitendra Kumar, who was working as a driver, came in contact with a live 11 KV loose power line during the course of his duty on 20.10.2021 and died due to an electric shock. Counsel has made a reference to post mortem report dated 21.10.2021, Annexure P-1, as well as DDR, Annexure P-2, recorded on the same day, to assert that death occurred because of electrocution. Counsel asserts that responsibility of maintaining the electricity line was that of Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (UHBVNL) and petitioner is liable to be compensated on account of unfortunate demise. Counsel has made a reference to policy dated



CWP-35687-2025 -2-

08.07.2019, Annexure P-6, framed by UHBVNL, which provides for grant of financial assistance in event of fatal/non-fatal accidents due to electrocution. Counsel submits that petitioner has submitted repeated representations and she would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the competent authority to deal with representation dated 30.09.2025, Annexure P-5.

2. Advance copy of the petition has been served upon Mr. Tushar Kaushik, Advocate for Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Advocate/Addl. A.G., Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of the respondents.

3. Given the nature of order proposed to be passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call for a response from the respondents.

4. Perusal of the petition shows that representation, Annexure P-5, is pending with the respondents and has not been decided.

5. In view thereof, without examining the claim on merit, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to competent authority to decide representation, Annexure P-5, within a period of four months from the date of communication of a copy of this order.

6. Needless to mention in case competent authority finds that claim cannot be acceded to, a detailed order assigning reasons for rejection shall be passed within the aforesaid period.

22.12.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No