



116
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-65460-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:10.02.2025

Suneha

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Seena Sandhu, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner being aggrieved by the action of the police had approached the Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna vide Annexure P-1 but no action was taken in this regard pertaining to return of the educational documents/certificates.

2. At this stage, learned State counsel has submitted that she has instructions to state that after the first enquiry was conducted by the DSP, the same was again referred to the DSP because the petitioner was not satisfied with the first enquiry and after the second enquiry was conducted, the entire educational documents/certificates have been returned and therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.



CRM-M-65460-2024 (O&M) -2-

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.

4. Disposed of as having become infructuous.

10.02.2025

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No