



CRM-M-63529-2025

-1-

(227)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63529-2025

Date of Decision: 17.11.2025

MANGAT RAM**... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. R.S. Bagga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ved Parkash, Senior DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.30 dated 01.08.2025 registered under Sections 7, 13(1) b read with Section 13(2) of Prevention of Corruption Act (Section 13(1) b read with Section 13(2) of PC Act, added later on) at Police Station SV & ACB, Hisar, District Anti Corruption Bureau, Haryana (Annexure P-1).

2. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner-Mangat Ram demanded a bribe of Rs.12,000/- for sanctioning the mutation of 7 kanals of land in favour of the complainant and his family members.

**CRM-M-63529-2025****-2-**

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The FIR has been registered on manipulated and an introduced version of the complainant to wreak vengeance and with ulterior motives. As the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025 but none of the 25 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, the learned State counsel has filed a custody certificate dated 15.11.2025 and the same is taken on record. He contends that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner does not entitle him to the concession of bail as prayed for. He, however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025 and that none of the 25 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 01.08.2025 but none of the 25 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner-Mangat Ram S/o Mangal Ram is ordered to

2025.PHHC:158863



CRM-M-63529-2025

-3-

be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

17.11.2025

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No