



CRM-M-63793-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

**CRM-M-63793-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 13.11.2025**

RIMPY AND OTHERS**.....Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 seeking issuance of appropriate directions to Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, for expeditious hearing and disposal of the criminal revision petition as well as the stay application bearing CRM No.125 of 2025, titled "Peter Masih and Others v. Sukhwinder Singh", arising out of the summoning order dated 21.11.2023 passed by the Learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurdaspur, as against the said summoning order, whereby the Petitioners have been summoned to face trial for the offences punishable under Sections 420 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have preferred a criminal revision before the learned Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, along with a stay application seeking suspension of further proceedings before the Trial Court during the pendency of the



said revision. However, despite the pendency of the matter for a considerable time, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, has neither heard the arguments on the main criminal revision nor decided the stay application. However, in the meantime, the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Gurdaspur is proceeding with the main complaint by giving short adjournments and hearing of the complaint is now fixed for 15.11.2025, as such may render the revision proceedings before the Appellate Court infructuous as he has good case on merits. He further contended that no stay order has been passed by the revisional Court for which the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State
5. Heard.
6. Paper book perused.
7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to the trial Court to adjourn the case beyond the date fixed by the revisional Court. Further, the revisional Court is directed to decide the revision pending before it on the date fixed, however, if the said Court is not in a position to decide the same on the said date, it shall take necessary steps so that the revision filed by the petitioner is not rendered infructuous as the matter before the trial Court has been proceeding.



8. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

13.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO