

2025:PHHC:164607



CR-8156-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-8156-2025

Date of decision : 27.11.2025

Rohit Dhawan and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Reeta Dhawan (since deceased) through her legal heirs and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Prateek Sodhi, Advocate
for respondents no.1(i) and 1(ii).

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 30.10.2025 passed by the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.), Amritsar, vide which the application filed by respondents under Order 22 Rule 5 CPC has been allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for some time and seeing that this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter and in order to avoid an adverse order on merits, seeks to withdraw the present petition by stating the since the petitioners are in possession since 2007, thus, adequate time be given to the petitioners to vacate the premises.



3. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners are permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with the following observations/directions:-

- i) The petitioners would be permitted to occupy the premises till 27.02.2026, subject to the condition mentioned hereinafter and would vacate the premises and hand over the keys of the premises to the respondent on or before 27.02.2026.
- ii) The petitioners would file an undertaking on the said aspect before the Executing Court within a period of two weeks from today with an advance copy to the opposite counsel in the Executing Court.

4. It is made clear that in case the petitioners do not submit the said undertaking or do not comply with the abovesaid conditions then it would be open to the respondent to seek immediate possession of the premises in question from the petitioners by applying for police help in addition to taking recourse to other proceedings including the Contempt of Courts Act.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No