

CRM-M-63582-2025

-1-

**264 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63582-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.11.2025

Mohit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Vikrant Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)CRM-45239-2025

Allowed as prayed for.

Main case

1. Petitioner has approached by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.202 dated 06.08.2024 under Sections 115, 126, 3(5), 351 (2) of BNS, 2023, (Sections 117(2), 109(1), 61, 190, 191(2), 191(3) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 added and Sections 3(5), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 deleted during investigation) registered at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that the FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Ravi Parkash. It was alleged that on 06.08.2024, the complainant alongwith his brother, namely, Harsh had gone to the Court at Charkhi Dadri and when they were returning, they were way laid by Mohit (petitioner), Rohit @ Jagira, Rohit @ Mehsha Ala. They stopped the vehicle of the complainant's side and the complainant was taken out from the same. They caused injuries to the complainant with sticks, however, he was rescued by his brother, namely, Harsh and friend, namely, Vikas. However, after causing injuries, they left the spot by threatening them. Thus the request was made to take legal action



CRM-M-63582-2025


-2-

against the accused. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. The complainant was medico legally examined. The petitioner was arrested on 04.11.2024. He approached the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Charkhi Dadri praying for the grant of bail, however after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, learned Court declined the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 22.05.2025. Hence being aggrieved, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present petition praying for the grant of regular bail.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Parveen @ Sonu and Rohit @ Jagira. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 04.11.2025, passed in **CRM-M-43257-2025**, whereby, co-accused Parveen @ Sonu and Rohit @ Jagira have been granted regular bail by this Court. He submits that the petitioner is behind bars since 04.11.2024. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the said co-accused, who have already been granted bail.

4. Learned State counsel has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Parveen @ Sonu and Rohit @ Jagira.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since 04.11.2024. Co-accused, namely, Parveen @ Sonu and Rohit @ Jagira are on bail and the case of the petitioner as stated is at par with them. As submitted before is



CRM-M-63582-2025

-3-

Court, the petitioner has suffered an incarceration of more than one year.

6. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

18.11.2025
sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No