



247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-65225-2024

Date of Decision: 13.01.2025

Rahul

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.37 dated 03.06.2024 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Cyber Crime, Sonipat, District Sonipat.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he had provided a bank account to the other accused in return of Rs.5,000/- as commission. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 24.08.2024 and after completion of the investigation, challan has already been presented against him. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the case is based on documentary evidence and the evidence has already been collected by the police, during the course of investigation. Learned counsel has referred to orders Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively passed by this Court, whereby



similarly placed co-accused, namely, Surender Kumar and Sunny have been granted the concession of bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 24.08.2024 and all the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate. The documentary evidence has already been collected by the police and similarly placed co-accused Surender Kumar and Sunny have already been admitted to bail vide orders (Annexures P-2 and P-3) passed by this Court.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

13.01.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No