

109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-1-2025

Date of decision: 04.02.2025

GURPREET SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMANDEEP KAUR

...Respondent(s)


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 18.11.2024 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Mansa Camp Court, Saradulgarh, vide which interim maintenance has been granted to the respondent-wife.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that vide the aforesaid impugned order dated 18.11.2024, the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Mansa Camp Court, Saradulgarh has granted interim maintenance of Rs.15,000/- per month to the respondent-wife, which is under challenge in the present revision petition. He further submitted that the petitioner-husband is working as a Soldier in the Indian Army and his salary is only Rs.40,000/- per month and so far as the respondent-wife is concerned, she is a well educated lady and is having a qualification of BA, B.Ed and is capable of earning well




and in fact she is also working as a Teacher in a School at Bathinda. He further submitted that at the time when the application for grant of interim maintenance was decided, no document could have been placed on the record with regard to the respondent-wife working as a Teacher. He also submitted that the aforesaid amount of Rs.15,000/- per month as interim maintenance granted to the respondent-wife is on the higher side especially in view of the fact that the petitioner also has parents to support and therefore, the aforesaid impugned order may be set aside or in the alternative, the aforesaid amount of interim maintenance may be reduced.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The petitioner, who is a husband has come up before this Court challenging the order, vide which interim maintenance has been granted to the respondent-wife. It is an admitted case of the petitioner that he is a Soldier in the Indian Army and is drawing a salary of Rs.40,000/- per month. A perusal of the aforesaid impugned order passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Mansa Camp Court, Saradulgarh would show that so far as the respondent-wife is concerned, although she is stated to be an educated lady but her income is not shown and no document has been produced as of date, whereby any income of the respondent-wife has been shown. As a matter of interim measure, the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Mansa Camp Court, Saradulgarh has only fixed an amount of Rs.15,000/- per month as an interim maintenance for the respondent-wife.

5. This Court is of the considered view that the scope of revision petition is very limited and this Court has not been able to find out any illegality



or perversity in the aforesaid impugned order dated 18.11.2024 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court Mansa, Camp Court, Saradulgarh, vide which interim maintenance has been granted to the respondent-wife.

6. Consequently, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

04.02.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No