



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CRR-294-2025(O&M)**Date of decision: 6th February, 2025**

Karamjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment of conviction and order on quantum of sentence, both dated 03.12.2019, passed by the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jagraon in criminal case arising out of the FIR No. 34 dated 21.02.2013, registered under Sections 279, 337, 338 and 427 of IPC at Police Station City, Jagraon and the petitioner was held guilty for commission of offences and was sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for maximum one year with default clause of fine; as well as against the judgment dated 19.11.2024, whereby the appeal of the petitioner had been dismissed by the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has made a statement so as not to press the present revision against the judgment of conviction, passed by the learned trial Court, as well as the judgment passed by the



learned Appellate Court. Learned counsel confines his prayer against the order of sentence only. It is further submitted that petitioner is not the previous convict nor any other case is pending against him and so looking into these circumstances, the sentence of the petitioner may be reduced to the period already undergone by him.

3. Learned State Counsel has no serious objection to the aforesaid prayer. She has filed custody certificate, as per which, the petitioner has already undergone actual sentence of 02 months and 17 days out of total sentence of 01 year as awarded by the trial Court and upheld by the Appellate Court and he is not involved in any other case.

4. After hearing the counsel for the parties, I uphold the judgments of conviction passed by the Courts below as the same are based on appreciation of prosecution evidence, proving guilt of the petitioner, however, considering the fact that the petitioner has faced the agony of protracted trial and he has already undergone actual sentence of 02 months and 17 days and is not involved in any other case, which shows that he has improved his character and has joined the mainstream of the society, the order on quantum of sentence dated 03.12.2019 is modified to the extent that the same is reduced to the period already undergone by him. However, the fine imposed upon the petitioner is upheld.

5. The petitioner is directed to be released from custody forthwith on depositing fine as imposed by the trial Court. His personal/surety bonds be discharged accordingly.

6. Let a copy of this order be sent forthwith to Jail Superintendent



as well as the Court concerned for compliance.

7. Since the main petition stands disposed of, pending application, if any, shall also be treated as disposed of.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

6th February, 2025

Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |