



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-35281-2024**Date of Decision:07.01.2025****HAKAM SINGH**

..... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF PUNJAB THROUGH THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate with
Ms. Mehak Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to re-fix his pay which should be equivalent to pay of his juniors.

2. The petitioner claims that his juniors are getting more salary than him. There is need to re-fix his salary.

3. Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner at the outset, submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed if respondents are directed to re-fix his pay which should be equivalent to pay of his juniors.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and waives service.



6. With consent of learned counsel for the parties, the case is taken up for final disposal.
7. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab submits that Competent Authority would look into grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within two months from today.
8. Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner agrees to the said arrangement
9. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.01.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No