



CWP-34-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-34-2025

Date of Decision : 12.03.2025

Dr. Partap Singh Verka

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus upon the respondents, to issue 'No Objection Certificate' to the petitioner, from the record as well as for updation of the status of the petitioner, from online portal, showing the status as 'undertrial'.

2. While issuing notice of motion, this Court, has passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant writ petition :-

"Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner was earlier summoned vide order dated 20.05.2017 (Annexure P-3), under the provisions of Section 319 of the Cr.P.C. by the learned trial court concerned, in case FIR No.10, dated 25.04.2016, under Section 7 and 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Amritsar (Annexure P-1), and on assailing the said order by the petitioner before this Court, the same was set aside vide order dated 02.08.2018, and that order has already attained finality, and as of today, the petitioner is not facing trial for the commission of any offence. However, on a portal maintained by the State Government, the status of



the petitioner is still reflecting as 'undertrial', which has caused grievance to the present petitioner, and he has approached this Court by filing the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking mandamus upon the competent authority amongst the respondents concerned, for issuance of 'No Objection Certificate', and for updation of the status of the present petition on the said portal.

He further submits that the other co-accused, who were facing trial in the instant FIR, have already been acquitted by the learned trial court concerned.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State and waives service.

He seeks an adjournment to file a written statement.

Adjourned to 12.03.2025.

List this matter in urgent list."

3. In deference to the above directions, learned State counsel, has placed on record today, short reply dated 11.03.2025, by way of affidavit of Mr. Surinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Bureau, Unit Amritsar, on behalf of the respondents No.2 to 4. The same is taken on the record.

4. In view of the short reply (supra), learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his grievance has been redressed, and therefore, the prayer made in the instant writ petition, has been rendered infructuous.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.**

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

March 12, 2025

Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No