



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 4029-CI of 2025 in/and  
RA-RF No. 68 of 2024 (O&M) in  
RFA No. 4895 of 2017  
Date of Decision: 01.12.2025

Basant Singh  
..... Appellant

Versus

The State of Punjab and others  
..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate  
for the appellant/landowner.

Mr. Athar Ahmad, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab  
for the review applicants-respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)**

**CM No. 4029-CI of 2025**

Prayer in the present application moved on behalf of the  
applicant-appellant, is for preponing the date of hearing of main review from  
24.02.2026 to an earlier date.

Notice of the application.

Learned State Counsel accepts notice and raises no objection  
against the prayer made in the application.

In view of the above, present application is **allowed** and with the  
consent of learned counsel for the parties, the main review is taken on board  
today itself.

**RA-RF No. 68 of 2024 (O&M)**

Review applicants-State of Punjab, by way of present  
application, seek setting aside of an order dated 14.11.2023 passed by this  
Court in the main appeal, whereby the award dated 28.11.2016 passed by the

learned Reference Court was set aside and the landowner(s) were entitled for increase of 145% over and above the amount assessed by the Collector vide its Award dated 27.02.1987 towards compensation for the fruit bearing as well as non-fruit bearing trees besides all other statutory benefits provided under the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the non-applicant/appellant-landowner submits that the present controversy is squarely covered by the judgment dated **04.11.2025** passed by this Court in **RA-RF No. 67 of 2024** titled as **“Chain Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others”**, whereby the review preferred by the State of Punjab against the impugned order dated 14.11.2023 has been dismissed.

[3] Learned counsel for the review applicants-State of Punjab is not in a position to controvert the above factual position.

[4] After going through the judgment passed by this Court referred to above as well as the present case, this Court agrees with the assertion of the learned counsel for the non-applicant/appellant.

[5] Consequently, the present review application is **dismissed** in the same terms as **Chain Singh’s case (supra)**.

[6] Since, the main review application itself has been decided, no orders are required to be passed in other pending application(s), including condonation of delay in filing the Review Application(s), and the same shall stand disposed off.

**December 01, 2025**  
'dk kamra'

**( HARKESH MANUJA )**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |