



119
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-33397-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision:21.11.2025

ISHWAR SINGH AND OTHERS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

NATIONAL HIGHWAY AUTHORITY OF INDIA
GURUGRAM THROUGH ITS PROJECT DIRECTOR

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Rohan Moudgil, Advocate for
Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunil K. Sahore, Advocate, for respondent/NHAI.

Mr. Udit Garg, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No.3 to decide the arbitration application dated 03.04.2019 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.

2. At the outset, Mr. Udit Garg, Additional Advocate General, Haryana has submitted that he has sought instructions to state that the reference under Section 3(G) (5) of the National Highways Act, 1956 is pending before the concerned authority i.e. respondent No.3 and the same will be decided within a period of six months from today.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that in view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present petition may be disposed of accordingly.
4. Ordered accordingly.

21.11.2025

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

rakesh

JUDGE

Whether speaking

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No