



CWP- 421-2025

1

125

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 421-2025

Date of Decision: 13.01.2025

ANIL DEVI

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Anshul Labana, Advocate and  
Ms. Binayjeet Sheoran, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant the benefit of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> ACP from the due date along with arrears to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before respondents. At this stage, petitioner will be satisfied in case second/third respondent are directed to decide her pending representations, dated 10.12.2024 and 01.07.2024, Annexures P-7 and P-6 respectively, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.



CWP- 421-2025

2

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State, and submits that pending representations, dated 01.07.2024 and 10.12.2024, will be decided by respondent no.2/Director, Secondary Education and respondent no. 3/Director, Elementary Education, as the case may be, by passing speaking order(s) in accordance with law within a period of three months.
5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

13.01.2025  
Seema

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |