



CWP-35149-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(280)

CWP-35149-2024

Date of Decision : 03.04.2025

Subhash Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Sehgal and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus upon the learned Appellate Authority concerned, who is seized of the matter bearing RA No.6 of 2024, titled 'Pawan Sehgal vs. Subhash Chander'.

2. At the time of issuing notice of motion, this Court, vide order dated 07.01.2025, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant writ petition :-

“Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuance of a mandamus upon the learned Appellate Authority concerned to decide the Rent Appeal bearing No.6 of 2024 in a time bound manner specifically considering the fact that the petitioner is 77 years of age and the learned Rent Controller concerned has allowed the application in his favour and passed the order of eviction on the basis of personal necessity.



Notice of motion for 24.2.2025 on furnishing of requisite process fee.

Before this Court proceeds to pass any order, or any asked for direction upon the learned Appellate Court concerned, let the latter informs this Court that how much time is required to dispose of the above said appeal.

The compliance report be filed by the learned Appellate Court concerned on or before the next date of hearing.”

3. In deference to the directions (supra), issued by this Court, the learned Appellate Authority concerned, has informed this Court that the appeal, in question, would be decided on or before 15.03.2025.

4. Today, no one has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

5. At this stage, this Court, can safely presume that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed, as the appeal might have been decided on dated 15.03.2025, as per the information supplied by the learned Appellate Court concerned.

6. In view of the above, no further direction is required to be passed in the instant petition, and the same is hereby **closed**.

7. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

8. In case, the petitioner still has any grievance, he is at liberty to file apt motion, for revival of the instant petition.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 03, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No