



CRM-M-63073-2025

-1-

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63073-2025

Date of Decision: 14.11.2025

Karanvir Singh @ Karan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Bhavesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.29 dated 14.05.2025 under Sections 22, 27-A of NDPS Act, and Section 111(2) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 21, 29 of NDPS Act, 1985 added lateron) registered at Police Station Payal, District Khanna (Ludhiana).

2. Succinctly, facts of the case are that on 14.05.2025, the Police party while on patrolling were going to village Dhamot Kalan via Dana Mandi, then near Dana Mandi, they saw five young persons sitting in a suspicious situation inside the godown. On seeing the police, they got perplexed and tried to escape and one of them wearing turban took out an envelop from his pocket and threw it on the floor. However, they were apprehended. On asking, the person who threw the envelope, disclosed his name to be Ripudaman Singh @ Dippy, Inderjeet Singh, Baghel Singh @ Bella, Balkar Singh @ Bagi and Ravinder Singh @ Ravi. They were



suspected to be carrying some contraband and thus, offer for search was given. On conducting the search, 06 grams of heroin was recovered from Inderjeet Singh, 140 narcotic tablets were recovered from Ripudaman Singh @ Dippy, drug money of Rs.6,000/- were recovered from Baghel Singh @ Bella. They failed to produce any licence regarding possession of the same. Thus, the FIR was registered and they were arrested on the spot. On registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. Samples taken were sent to the FSL. During the investigation, name of the petitioner was surfaced and thus, he was arrayed as accused and arrested on 14.05.2025. The petitioner approached the Court of learned Special Judge, Ludhiana praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 05.07.2025. Hence, the petitioner has approached this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused, namely, Gurjot Singh @ Jot. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 03.11.2025, passed in **CRM-M-60041-2025**, whereby, co-accused Gurjot Singh @ Jot has been granted regular bail by this Court. He submits that the petitioner is behind bars since 14.05.2025. He submits that on the basis of the parity, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail as the case of the petitioner is similar to that of the said co-accused, who has already been granted bail.



CRM-M-63073-2025

-3-

4. Learned State counsel has endorsed the factum of grant of bail to the co-accused of the petitioner as stated above and has not denied that the petitioner is at par with the co-accused, namely, Gurjot Singh @ Jot. He has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner is behind bars since 14.05.2025. Co-accused, namely, Gurjot @ Jot is on bail and the case of the petitioner as stated is at par with him. Custody certificate of the petitioner would reveal that the petitioner has suffered an incarceration of 05 months & 28 days as on 13.11.2025. It further reveals that the petitioner is involved in three other cases, out of which in one case he has undergone the sentence and in rest of the cases, he is on bail.

6. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

7. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.11.2025

ps-I

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No