

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:178866



(102)

CRM-M-62886-2025  
Decided on : 24.12.2025  
Uploaded on: 24.12.2025

Sachin and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Raghav Bali, Advocate for  
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate  
for the complainant/respondent No.2

\*\*\*\*\*

**Sumeet Goel (Oral):**

1. Apprehending their arrest in a cross case registered under GD No.13 dated 01.08.2025 under Section 191(3), 190, 115(1), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in case FIR No.237 dated 07.07.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 109(1), 115, 190, 191(3), 351(2) of BNS 2023 and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 10.11.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Apprehending their arrest in a cross case registered under GD No.13 dated 01.08.2025 under Section 191(3), 190, 115(1), 118(1), 351(2) of BNS, 2023 in case FIR No.237 dated 07.07.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections*

*109(1), 115, 190, 191(3), 351(2) of BNS 2023 and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, at Police Station Kunjpura, District Karnal; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.*

*Counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that the petitioners have been ascribed the role of having inflicted simple injury & the petitioners are willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.*

*Notice of motion.*

*On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.*

*Adjourned to 10.12.2025.*

*The petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 15.11.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioners shall join the investigation. They shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”*

3                      Thereafter, on 10.12.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that petitioner-Sachin has not joined investigation, but petitioner-Shubham has joined investigation.*

*This situation is factually disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners.*

*Without delving into rival contentions in this regard, both the petitioners are permitted to appear before the Investigating Officer in the concerned Police Station at 11:00 A.M. on 15.12.2025 and rejoin investigation, in terms of interim protection granted on 10.11.2025.*

*The petitioners are also permitted to serve respondent No.2 by way of process dasti as well.*

*Put up on 24.12.2025.*

*Interim order to continue.*

*Be taken up in the urgent cause list.”*

4. Learned State counsel (on instructions from SI Mukesh) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and they are is not required for custodial interrogation.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners by iterating that allegation raised against the petitioners are direct/serious in nature and hence, ought not to be granted the concession of anticipatory bail. He further submits that in case the petitioners are extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that they may flee from the process of justice as also intimidate with the investigation/witnesses.

6. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation and cooperated therein and their custodial interrogation is not required, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 10.11.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

9. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioners violate any condition

stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

10.           Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

11.           Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**December 24, 2025**  
*Naveen*

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes/No |