



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

CRM-M-63007-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.12.2025

Narender @ Monu

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Dr. (Ms.) Malvika Singh, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Advocate and

Mr. Sakeel Ahmed, Advocate for the complainant.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed seeking direction to respondents No.1 and 2 for conducting fair and unbiased investigation in case bearing FIR No.340 dated 06.10.2025 registered under Sections 308(4), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Pataudi, District Gurugram, at the instance of respondent No.5/Krishan Kumar (Partner of petitioner in liquor business) by constituting a Special Investigation Team (SIT) under the supervision of some senior officers of the rank of IPS. A further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to decide the representation dated 10.10.2025 submitted by the petitioner.

2. Pursuant to the order dated 11.11.2025 passed by this Court, reply filed by way of affidavit dated 24.11.2025 of Ms. Sangeeta Kalia, IPS, Joint Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, Haryana on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State is already available on file and the same is taken on record.

It has been averred in the said status report that taking note of the grievance of the petitioner, a Special Investigation Team (SIT) under the direct supervision of Mr. Gaurav Rajpurohit, IPS, DCP East, Gurugram has been constituted by the orders of the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram comprising of Assistant Commissioner of Police and two other investigators in terms of the order dated 14.11.2025.

3. Further details have been mentioned in paragraph No.9 of the status report that there are as many 20 other criminal cases that have been registered against the petitioner ranging from offences of attempt to murder; robbery/dacoity; theft; trespass as well as offences under the Arms Act.

4. Counsel for the petitioner has confined his prayer to the extent of directing an independent investigation by constituting an SIT to be headed by an officer not below the rank of an IPS and the said aspect has already been redressed by the respondent. The petitioner has not expressed any apprehension with respect to the constitution of the SIT or impartiality and fairness of the officer heading the SIT. In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in so far as grievances espoused by the petitioner is concerned. He shall however be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate alternative remedies available in accordance with law as regards any other subsisting grievances.

5. Needless to mention that the SIT shall expeditiously investigate into the matter and submit its final report.

6. ***Disposed of*** in above terms.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

02.12.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No