



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-1949-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 24.01.2025

Nirmal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that even though the order of conviction has been modified by Hon'ble the Supreme Court, vide order dated 12.10.2023, Annexure P-2, but granting reinstatement or award of lesser punishment has not been considered, as has been done in the case of similarly situated employees, Annexures P-8 to P-14, regarding which representation dated 07.12.2023, Annexure P-3, has been submitted, however, the same has yet not evoked any response. He thus, on instructions, prays that a direction is given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner, to which learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

2. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondents to decide the representation dated 07.12.2023, Annexure P-3,

within a period of 4 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

24.01.2025

parveen kumar

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No