

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33271-2025 (O/M)

Date of decision : 01.12.2025

Ajaydar Husain through special power of attorney Petitioner
Ali Rehman

Versus

Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present :- Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Ms. Amita Arora,
Mr. Karamjit Singh, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Senior DAG Haryana.

Mr. Saket Bhandari, Mr. Praveen Sharma, Advocates
for caveator-respondent No. 4.

-. -

-. -

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India, inter alia, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside order dated 15.10.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal (in short 'Divisional Commissioner'); order dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P-2), passed by Sub Divisional Officer (Civil)-cum-Collector, Indri, District Karnal and orders dated 04.09.2024 and 11.10.2024 (Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4, respectively), whereby mode of partition (Annexure P-6) has been prepared and sanctioned.

2. Learned counsel appearing for petitioner as well as learned counsel for caveator-respondent No. 4 have submitted that the matter has been amicably resolved between the petitioner, respondent No. 4 and one

Suman Devi before Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court by way of a settlement dated 27.11.2025 in respect of not only one khewat (which is subject matter of present writ petition), but also in respect of other khewat, which was also subject matter of partition in other proceedings.

2.1 Learned counsel for petitioner submits that he would not press the instant writ petition, however, in view of settlement dated 27.11.2025; the area allocated to petitioner in partition shall be exchanged with respondent No. 4 and Suman Devi in accordance with terms and conditions of the settlement. It is therefore prayed that the parties may be permitted to approach revenue authorities for giving effect to exchange/settlement, executed between them.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has not opposed the aforesaid course being adopted.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid stand of learned counsel for parties, the instant civil writ petition is dismissed as not pressed, however, the petitioner as well as respondent No. 4 and Suman Devi would be at liberty to approach the concerned/competent revenue authorities for giving effect to the exchange/settlement, executed between them, as per settlement dated 27.11.2025.

5. Disposed of accordingly.

6. Pending applicant (s), if any, shall also stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

01.12.2025

sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No