



CWP-35099-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-35099-2024

Date of Decision :13.02.2025

Parmjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the husband of the petitioner was in service though, after retirement he died but the benefit of revision of pay scale for which, benefit the husband of the petitioner was also entitled so as to revise his pay, pensionary benefits and grant of benefit of arrears is not being extended, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner hence, the respondents be directed to grant the petitioner the benefit of arrears as admissible to the petitioner qua the service which the husband of the petitioner rendered with the respondent-department.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 30.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the

**CWP-35099-2024****-2-**

respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-Statesubmits that in case, the legal notice dated 30.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

February 13, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No