



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-64390-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.11.2025

Varas Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

The State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.126 dated 04.08.2025, registered under Section(s) 21(b), 27(a) (Section 29 added later on) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Sultanwind, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the prosecution story, on 04.08.2025, the petitioner was apprehended by the Police party while on patrolling and recovery of 7.92 grams of *Heroin* and Rs.650/- as drug money was allegedly effected from him.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and no recovery has been effected from him. He contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 04.08.2025 and has undergone an actual custody of more than 03 months.

He contends that the alleged recovery effected in the present case is non-commercial quantity. It is further argued that there is no other case pending against the petitioner and the investigation in the present case is yet to be completed and that custodial detention of the petitioner would not be required.

4. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the period of actual custody, criminal antecedents, the age (20 years) of the petitioner coupled with the fact that the investigation is yet to be completed and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

20.11.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No