



202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64465-2024

Date of decision: 15.02.2025

Prince Tadiyal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of UT Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Ashu Santokh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T. Chandigarh.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.190 dated 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 409/420/467/468/471/120-B of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station (South) Sector 34, Chandigarh.

On 19.12.2024, the following order was passed:-

'Apprehending arrest the petitioner has filed this petition under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.190 dated 28.11.2024 under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and Section 24 of Emigration Act, registered at Police Station South Sector 34, Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that allegedly the allegations against the petitioner are that he duped the complainant over a sum of Rs.1.16 lacs on the pretext of sending him abroad. Further reliance has been



placed upon Annexure P-2 in which the compromise has been effected between the parties and disputed amount has been returned to the complainant.

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P. U.T. Chandigarh waives service of notice on behalf of respondent-State and Mr. Angad Parmar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed his vakalatnama in Court which is taken on record.*

5. *List on 12.02.2025.*

6. *In the meantime, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Agency/Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall abide by the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) BNSS:-*

- 1) That the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required to do so.*
- 2) That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.*
- 3) That the petitioner shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.'*

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sanjeev Kumar, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 19.12.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and

CRM-M-64465-2024

-3-

conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

15.02.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No