

**CRM-M-65140-2024(O&M)****-1-**

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

253**CRM-M-65140-2024(O&M)****Date of Decision: 27.03.2025**

Iqbal Singh & ors.

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab & anr.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners (through VC).

Mr. Yuvraj Singh Tiwana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.27 dated 17.04.2021, registered under Sections 406 & 498-A IPC at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana (Rural) (Annexure P-1) and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

2. On 10.02.2025 while issuing notice of motion, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements qua compromise.

3. As per report dated 24.03.2025 received from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, none has appeared on



CRM-M-65140-2024(O&M)

-2-

behalf of the parties for recording their statements qua compromise.

4. Learned State counsel submits that challan has been presented under Sections 498-A, 506 and 120B IPC, whereas in the whole petition, Section 506 IPC has not been mentioned. Vide order dated 24.02.2025, while dismissing the application bearing No.CRM-11062-2025, moved by the petitioners seeking issuance of directions to the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 25.03.2025 again, costs of Rs.20,000/- was imposed, which was to be deposited with the Poor Patient Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh within a period of one month.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn.

7. However, the costs imposed vide order dated 24.03.2025, if not deposited within the time prescribed, the same shall be recovered as arrears of land revenue from the petitioners.

27.03.2025

monika

(NAMIT KUMAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*Whether reportable* : Yes/No