



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

130

CWP-34727-2024

Date of decision: 20.01.2025

SANDEEP KUMAR

....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider him for the post of Constable (General Duty).

2. The petitioner, pursuant to advertisement No. 4/2020 applied for the post of Constable (General Duty). In the application form, he disclosed his name as 'Ram' whereas his actual name is 'Sandeep Kumar'. He signed said application as 'Sandeep Kumar'. All the documents enclosed with the application form disclosed his name as 'Sandeep Kumar'. The Admit Card was issued disclosing his name as 'Sandeep Kumar'. He qualified the test but appointment letter was not issued because of variation in the name in the application form vis-à-vis other documents.

3. Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate relying upon judgment of Supreme Court in '*Vashist Narayan Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar and others*' (2024) SCC

OnLine SC 2, submits that petitioner cannot be deprived of

appointment letter on the ground of inadvertent error which was corrected while issuing admit card.

4. Faced with this, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana, submits that case of the petitioner would be considered in the light of judgment of Supreme Court in *Vashist Narayan Kumar (Supra)*. The needful shall be done within 2 months from today.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

20.01.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No