



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CRWP-11988-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2025

GURMEET SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J.(Oral)

CRM-W-1632-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Amended memo of parties as well as photocopy of screen
shot of phone calls as Annexure P-7 are taken on record.

CRWP-11988-2025

The instant petition has been filed for seeking directions to
the respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the
hands of respondents No. 5 to 11 who are threatening the petitioners on
account of marital discord between the petitioner No.1-Gurmeet Singh
with Manpreet Kaur daughter of respondent No.5-Ikbaal Singh who is
currently posted as ASI in Police Station Julkhan, District Patiala.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners

**CRWP-11988-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

contends that the petitioners are receiving threatening Whatsapp messages, threatening calls and intimation to appear in Police Station Julkhan, District Patiala wherein his father-in-law is posted whereas as per the allegations, matter pertains to crime against women, therefore, should be investigated by the Women Cell. He contends that respondent No. 5-Ikbaal Singh is abusing his position to pressurize the petitioner and to harass even though the Police Station would not ordinarily have jurisdiction to look into any complaints pertaining to marital discord. He contends that he would be satisfied in case the complaint for enquiry/investigation against the petitioners, submitted by Manpreet Kaur daughter of Ikbaal Singh is sent to the Police Station Women, Patiala.

3. Learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab submits that even though allegations are ill founded, misplaced and deserves to be discarded in its entirety, however, for the sake of ensuring faith and trust in the process of investigation, he would not have any serious objection to referring the complaint for further enquiry/investigation to Police Station Women in District Patiala.

4. Consequently, without commenting on the merits of the case and taking into consideration the concession extended by the State, I deem it appropriate to issue a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala to refer the complaint submitted by Manpreet Kaur wife of Gurmeet Singh to the Police Station Women, Patiala instead of its cognizance being taken at Police Station Julkhan, District Patiala

wherein the father-in-law of the petitioner No.1 is posted.



CRWP-11988-2025 (O&M)

-3-

5. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

NOVEMBER 12, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No