



CWP-33145-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

CWP-33145-2025

Date of Decision: 10.11.2025

RENU BALA AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****Versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate and
Ms. Manjula Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to release salary to the petitioners with effect from 01.04.2024, as they have been continuously working as Multi-Purpose Workers (MPWs) on contractual basis in the Department ever thereafter.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that this Court has disposed of a similar case, CWP-17666-2025 alongwith connected matters, vide order dated 25.09.2025, on a statement made on behalf of the respondents that a committee has been constituted to ascertain facts regarding the duration MPWs have actually worked after 01.04.2024. The following directions were therefore issued:



5. Considering the aforementioned facts, these petitions are disposed of directing the respondents to conclude the aforementioned enquiry after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, considering the representations and documents, if any, submitted by them. The inquiry will be concluded and the remuneration for the period they have worked after 31.03.2024 will be released within three months of receiving a certified copy of the order.

5.1. The Department is at liberty to take disciplinary action against the officer(s)/official(s), in case anyone is found negligent or deficient in performance of duty. Further, appropriate action will be taken regarding porting the MPWs to the HKRNL in terms of the applicable policy in accordance with law, within one month thereafter.

Accordingly, petitioners' case can also be considered by the committee already constituted to examine the cases of similarly situated employees.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the prayer made.

4. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of in terms of directions issued by this Court vide order dated 25.09.2025 passed in CWP-17666-2025 and connected matters.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.11.2025

Mehak

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No