



CRM-M-62991-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

252

CRM-M-62991-2025
Decided on :22.12.2025

Dilgeer Singh alias Bittu and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG Punjab

Mr. Nitish Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Bali, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 29.10.2025 (Annexure P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
170	12.05.2023	420, 408 and 120-B IPC	City Faridkot, District Faridkot

2. Vide order dated 11.11.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial



Magistrate, Faridkot, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herein below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR Number of accused arraigned in the FIR and how many have appeared before it and have made statements and whether any accused is absconding/P.O. in the case	Two accused, both appeared and suffered statements. None of time is absconding nor declared proclaimed offender
2.	Name of the complainant and injured/aggrieved and whether all of them have appeared and made their statements in support of the compromise	Rajinder Kumar is the complainant/aggrieved person. He has also appeared before the Court and suffered statement in support of the compromise
3.	Number of accused prosecuted by the police;	Two accused persons
4.	Number of victims	One victim
5.	Stage of trial/proceedings	Challan presented and further investigation qua accused Deepak Sharma was pending and the Court has received status of further investigation qua him on the score that supplementary challan against him would be presented soon
6.	If the compromise is genuine, voluntary and out of free will of the parties.	Yes



CRM-M-62991-2025

5. Learned counsel for the parties jointly submits that all the accused persons and the victims including complainant are party to the instant petition.
6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.
7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridkot, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below
9. Petition stands disposed of.

22.12.2025

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO