



222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63155-2025

Date of decision: 14.11.2025

JASPREET SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.77 dated 16.11.2024 registered under Sections 331(4), 305(E), 324(3), 317(2), 238 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station City Zira, District Ferozepur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner is in custody since 20.11.2024 and as per the custody certificate, he is in custody since 22.11.2024 i.e. for the last 11 months and 22 days; investigation has already been completed; the offences, under which, the FIR is registered are triable by the Magistrate; recovery of one DVR, one rifle (.12 bore) and six live cartridges (.12 bore) has been effected from co-accused Gurwinder Singh; another co-accused, namely, Ranbir Singh has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court on 13.10.2025 passed in **CRM-M No.37385 of 2025** and the case of the petitioner is on same footing with the co-accused. As such, the petitioner deserves to be granted bail.

3. Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate and submitted that the petitioner is involved in one more case of similar nature



but he did not dispute that the case of the petitioner is on similar footings as of co-accused, Ranbir Singh.

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the fact that as per the custody certificate of the petitioner, he is in custody since 22.11.2024 i.e. for the last more than 11 months; the investigation is complete and the petitioner is not required for investigation and the case of the petitioner is on same footing with co-accused, namely, Ranbir, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court on 13.10.2025; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment and it is a trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

6. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed to be expression of an opinion by this Court on merits of the case. The learned Court below is directed to proceed with the matter on its own merits, lest it may prejudice the trial.

November 14, 2025
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No