



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

204

CWP-34594-2024.**Date of Decision: 02.05.2025.**

Charan Singh

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

Debts Recovery Tribunal-III, Chandigarh and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Veer Imaan Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Singh Rana, Advocate for respondent-Bank.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

This Court had passed the following order on 19.12.2024:-

“Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent-Bank had preferred an Original Application before the Debts Recovery Tribunal. The petitioner is a guarantor and the amount of Rs.89,73,501/- had been received by him in his bank account on account of compensation for acquisition of his land. The borrower/respondent No.3- company had preferred a Securitization Application No.169-2024 before the DRT which was allowed by order dated 22.05.2024 and the SARFAESI proceedings initiated by the respondent-bank were quashed. The respondent-Bank had preferred an Original Application before the DRT-III, Chandigarh wherein the petitioner had preferred two Interim Applications (Annexure P-18 and P-19). The interim

application (Annexure P-19) for preponement of the next date of hearing of OA from 17.03.2025 has been dismissed on 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-20) in a cryptic manner on the ground that there is a shortage of stenographers and efficient typists.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-Bank and prays for time to seek instructions.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits that limited relief he is seeking in this petition is that the decision in the IA No.1022 of 2024 preferred by the petitioner be expedited.

IA No. 1022 of 2024 preferred by the petitioner be decided expeditiously by the DRT-III, Chandigarh.

List on 13.01.2025.”

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that he is confining the prayer in this petition to the expeditious disposal of his IA bearing Diary No.1022 of 2024 (Annexure P-18). He submits that the arguments were advanced before the DRT on 29.04.2025.

3. It is apparent that the application for preponement of the matter had been declined partly due to shortage of stenographers and efficient typists in the Tribunal.

4. This Court vide order dated 19.02.2025 had decided a similar petition bearing CWP No.4544 of 2025 titled ‘M/s Sarabjit Singh and Company and another vs. Debts Recovery Tribunal-III, Chandigarh and others’ and had directed the Tribunal to decide the applications expeditiously. The issue with regard to shortage of stenographers and typists in the DRT was also sought to be addressed by this Court and the Additional Solicitor General of India had submitted therein that let the Presiding Officer send a request and

the same would be addressed at the earliest. We hope and trust that further steps to augment the strength of the staff would have been in place by now.

5. In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to Debts Recovery Tribunal-III, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the IA bearing Diary No.1022 of 2024 expeditiously, preferably within a period of 15 days as the arguments in that regard are stated to have been heard.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

02.05.2025
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No