

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:118597



262

**CRWP-12405-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.09.2025.**

Amrit Singh Sohal

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Doda, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 10.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Status report by way of an affidavit of Amritpal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana, has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.

It has been alleged in the status report that on filing of the application of petitioner Amrit Singh Sohal (Annexure P2), the inquiry regarding the same was conducted by the SHO, Police Station City Khanna. During the course of inquiry, the petitioner produced his statement dated 17.11.2024, wherein he expressed concerns regarding his safety due to certain apprehensions. However, he stated that at present, he does not require immediate police protection but seeks that the police remain vigilant and also stated that the said application be consigned to the record room. Copy of such aforesaid statement has been appended along with status

report as Annexure R-1. In view of the aforesaid statement SHO, Police Station City Khanna, prepared the inquiry report dated 18.10.2024. As no action was required at the part of police authorities, thereafter, the said inquiry was approved and the application of the petitioner was consigned to the record room. It has been further submitted that no new fact or cogent evidence regarding the alleged threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents has come on record. The petitioner alleges a threat to his life in the Court premises of Court Complex, Khanna and in this regard, the security personnel are already deployed there and if the petitioner perceives any threat, he can approach the said personnel. However, the police shall remain vigilant regarding the security of the petitioner.

Keeping the above in view, no further order is required to be passed and present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

02.09.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No