

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62861-2025

Date of Decision: 14.11.2025

VISHAVJEET SINGH ALIAS VISHAVDEEP SINGH ALIAS VISHU

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.


Mr. Anshdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. This is the first petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.06, dated 14.01.2025, registered at Police Station Smalsar, District Moga, under Sections 304(2), 331(6), 118(1), 115(2), 191 and 190 of BNS, 2023.


2. Mr. Anshdeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his vakalatnama. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Karamjeet Singh alias Ghamma with the allegations that on 13.01.2025 at about 9:20 PM, he was present at his



grocery shop at village Bhalur where Harvinder Singh & Happy armed with Kirch and Harpreet Singh alias Happy armed with Gandasi came and threatened not to spare him. They were accompanied by Amandeep Singh alias Aman armed with Dang, Sukhchain Singh armed with a sword, Dilpreet Singh alias Deep armed with a sword, Vishavjeet Singh alias Vishavdeep Singh alias Vishu (petitioner) armed with a sword and they all raised a Lalkara that he should not be spared today. Harvinder Singh attacked him with the Kirch which hit on his right arm as well as on the hand of his right arm. Harpreet Singh attacked him with Gandasi when he was closing the shutter of the shop which hit on his lower right leg's knee. In the meantime, Harvinder Singh raised a Lalkara to abduct him and then Sukhchain Singh attacked him with dasti sword on his legs and sword hit him from reverse side on the knee of his left leg. Thereafter, Harvinder Singh demanded a pistol and threatened to kill him and thereafter, they snatched his purse containing about Rs.10,000/- and ran away from the spot. Accused were arrested in due course and after completion of investigation, final report has been presented.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and an exaggerated version has been put forward. Victim has suffered simple injuries. No injury has been attributed to the present petitioner. Petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025. Challan has already been presented, after completion of investigation. Main accused namely Harpreet Singh @ Happy has already been released on bail by this Court vide order dated 18.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) and other co-accused namely Amandeep Singh and




Sukhchain Singh were released on bail by the trial Court vide order dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-2). The case of petitioner is on a better footing. The trial is certainly going to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and in case, he is released on bail, he will try to win over the witnesses and hamper the trial and as such, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

6. Victim has suffered simple injuries and no injury has been attributed to the petitioner. Challan has already been presented, after completion of investigation. Petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025. Main accused namely Harpreet Singh @ Happy has already been released on bail by this Court vide order dated 18.08.2025 (Annexure P-3) and other co-accused namely Amandeep Singh and Sukhchain Singh were released on bail by the trial Court vide order dated 18.02.2025 (Annexure P-2). The case of petitioner is on a better footing. The trial is certainly going to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

7. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial



Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

8. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

14.11.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No