



CRM-M-65247-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CRM-M-65247-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.04.2025

Akashdeep Singh @ Akash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Parminder Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed, under Section 483(3) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.0162 dated 20.07.2024, registered under Sections 309(1), 333 and 351(1) read with Section 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Sadar Amritsar, District Police Commissionerate.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused, in furtherance of their common intention trespassed into the shop of *de facto* complainant-Gautam Sharma; committed robbery of cash amounting to Rs. 28,000/- approximately on gunpoint and also criminally intimidated him with dire consequences.

3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2024; final report under Section 193 BNSS has already been presented on 14.11.2024; but charges are yet to be considered; thus, conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time.



CRM-M-65247-2024 2

- 4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the prayer while submitting that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; hence, he does not deserve the concession of bail pending trial.
- 5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
- 6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2024; final report under Section 193 BNSS has already been presented on 14.11.2024; but charges are yet to be considered. As the conclusion of trial may take sufficient long time; therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.
- 7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
- 8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
- 9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
- 10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

02.04.2025	(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
Rajeev (rvs)	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No