



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

321 CRM-M-63954-2025
Decided on :11.12.2025

SUKHMANDER SINGH AND OTHERSPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. S.S.Khokher, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Shivani Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 18.10.2025 (Annexure P-3) and affidavit dated 18.10.2025 (Annexure P-4), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
62	08.05.2017	447 and 511 of IPC and offence under section 149 and 188 of IPC added later on	Phool, District Bathinda

2. Vide order dated 14.11.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



3. Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s), compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondent has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Initially, there were total five accused in the present case. However, accused Sohan Singh has died on 25.02.2025. Therefore, as of now, there are total four accused in the present case.
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One victim/complainant
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her	No



	have been initiated or pending adjudication	
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Nothing worth noticing reported

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.
6. In view of the report of the learned learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.
8. Petition stands disposed of.

11.12.2025
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO