



108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-33193-2025
DECIDED ON: 02.12.2025

MUNESHWAR LAL

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Mayank Aggarwal , Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance fo a writ in the nature of *mandamus* seeking direction to the respondents to revise the pension of the petitioner on the basis of enhanced pay/revised salary as per 7th Bipartite Agreement.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Respondent No.1 is not contesting respondent, therefore, service upon him stands waived off.
4. Mr. Satyam Tandon, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 2 and on instructions from Onkar Nath Dhiman, AGM, PPFG Department, LHO, Chandigarh would submit that the respondent are in the process of doing the needful i.e., to revise the basic pension of the petitioner and

the arrears accruing as a consequence thereof which shall be released preferably within a period of four weeks from today.

- 5. Faced with the situation and in view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.
- 6. Prayer is accepted.
- 7. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to get the petition revived in case the above-said undertaking is not adhered to.
- 8. Dismissed as withdrawn.

02.12.2025
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No