

2025:PHHC:048204



281.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64914-2024

Date of decision: 08.04.2025

Jagseer Singh @ Jagga

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sahilpreet Singh, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.85, dated 13.09.2024, under Section 406 of IPC, registered at Police Station Chhajali, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 20.12.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sunam, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant/respondents No.2 and 3 have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. In compliance of order dated 20.12.2024, status report by way of an affidavit dated 05.04.2025 of Prithvi Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Dirba, on behalf of respondent No.1-State, has been filed in Court today, which is taken on record.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

7. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioner.

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

April 08, 2025
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No