


2025:PHHC:002348



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**SR. No.226**

**CRM-M-65097-2024**

**Date of decision:10.01.2025**

Dypty Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT**

**Present:** Ms.Kirandeep Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

**N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0079 dated 05.07.2024 under Sections 109, 125, 191(3), 190 of BNS 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor there is any averment in the FIR, which even remotely connects the petitioner with the alleged commission of crime. She further submits that it has been alleged that certain accused had fired shots on the complainant side from a vehicle and the petitioner was not even present in the vehicle in question. Rather, during the course of investigation, a false role has been assigned to the petitioner that the petitioner was travelling in another Duster car. She next contends that the petitioner was arrested on 26.09.2024 and is in custody since then. After completion of the investigation, challan has already been presented against him and further custody will not serve any meaningful purpose.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious injuries have been caused on the person of the complainant and thus, he does not deserve the concession of bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the case file minutely.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was arrested on 26.09.2024 and is in custody since then. The challan has already been presented against the petitioner before the trial court. Even similarly placed co-accused, namely, Ajaypal Singh @ Ajay and Ravi Singh have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders dated 29.10.2024 and 22.11.2024 (Annexures P-6 & P-7).

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.

(iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.

(v) The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of

residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.

(vi) In case, the petitioner involves in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.

(vii) The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.

10.01.2025  
mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)  
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO  
Whether Reportable: YES / NO