



124 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-150-2025
Date of Decision: **08.01.2025**

Jimmi Banga

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Gagandeep Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner (Through video conferencing).

Mr. Pankaj Midha, Addl. AG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS (old provision 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.626 dated 03.12.2024 under Sections 63, 65 of the Copyright Act, 1957 and Section 318(4) BNSS, registered at Police Station City Tohana (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings.

Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that the petitioner has invoked the wrong provision of law instead of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS). The wrong provision has been mentioned in the headnote and in the pleadings, as such the present petition under this provision is not maintainable.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action by curing the defect by mentioning the correct provision of law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

08.01.2025
Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No