



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-64737-2024
Date of decision: 04.02.2025

ARVIND MISHRA ALIAS ARVIND SHARMA
...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ..RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Varun Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

As per the report received, there are three accused at present and the petition of co-accused namely Narinder pal Singh and Harmeet Singh has already been allowed vide order dated 03.05.2024 (Annexure P-2) by co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
62	18.04.2023	406, 120-B of IPC and 411 of IPC has been added later on.	Sadar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

3. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 13.12.2024 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was



CRM-M-64737-2024

2

report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, vide report dated 03.02.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is also reported that the cost, so imposed, vide order dated 17.01.2025 has also been deposited.

4. Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. He does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

5. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jalandhar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 62 dated 18.04.2023 under Sections 406, 120-B of IPC and 411 of IPC has been added later on, registered at Police Station Sadar, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

04.02.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |