



CRWP-11912-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CRWP-11912-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 19.11.2025**

AMAR JEET KAUR AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Tapish K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to investigate into the complaint/representation (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner and to protect life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that they are in live-in relationship for the last 10 years and out of their relationship, one girl child has been born, now they are getting threats from the private respondents. He submitted that representation (Annexure P4), in this regard, has been moved by the petitioner to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, but it went in vain. Learned counsel also submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions be issued to concerned respondent to look into the aforesaid representation(s) and to take appropriate steps at the earliest.



CRWP-11912-2025 (O&M) -2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submitted that the concerned authorities will take action on the representation, in accordance with law. However, in pursuance of advance notice, the authority concerned has inquired into the matter, and found that there is no threat to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contentions of learned counsel for the State, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent-State that in case a representation is received on behalf of the petitioners within a period of 30 days, the respondent-State shall look into it, in accordance with law. Further, in case, the grievance on behalf of the petitioners still persists, the petitioners are at liberty to avail legal remedy, in accordance with law. It is made clear that if any offence is committed by the petitioners towards any individual or State, this order shall not create any impediment or embargo to take action against them.

7. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

19.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO