



205+ 206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1) CRM-M-62357-2025
Date of Decision: 19.12.2025

VANSH RANA @ VANS RANA @ GANDHI Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA Respondent

2) CRM-M-67472-2025

ROHIT BHOLAPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. D.K. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-62357-2025.

Mr. S.K. Nehra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate,
Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Advocate
Mr. Viren Nehra, Advocate
Mr. Anuj Chauhan, Advocate and
Ms. Meghna Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-67472-2025.

Ms. Jasmine Gill, AAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. This is the first application under Section 483 of BNSS,
2023 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.399, dated 26.06.2025,



under Sections 109(1), 111(2)(b), and 3(5) of BNS, and Section 25, 27, 54 and 59 of Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 111(3), 111(4), 238, 238(a) and 61 of BNS, 2023 and Section 25(6) of Arms Act added later on), BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

2. Status reports filed by the learned State counsel in both the cases are taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

4. As per allegations in the FIR, two unknown persons fired shots at Aman Hotel Shahabad and placed one slip with a mobile number and while going away they fired outside the hotel as well as at some vehicles parked nearby. During investigation, CCTV footage was collected. Initially, one Sohard Shivam was arrested in FIR No.317 dated 29.06.2025, under Sections 111(4) BNS and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, Police Station Civil Line, Karnal from whom one pistol was recovered and he suffered disclosure statement, admitting his involvement in the present case. Thereafter, said Sohard Shivam was arrested in this case and on the basis of his disclosure statement, some more accused, namely, Palvinder Singh, Prince Kumar, Jot Singh, Vikesh Saini and Hemant Rana, were arrested. Petitioner Rohit Bhola was arrested on 14.07.2025. In their disclosure statements, they disclosed the name of co-accused Bhupinder Singh, who was managing the finances pertaining to the gang members and at his instance, co-accused Jot Singh transferred money into the accounts of some of the gang members.



Petitioner Vansh Rana was arrested on 23.07.2025.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contended that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. Their names have surfaced in the disclosure statements suffered by the co-accused, which are not admissible in evidence. Nothing has been recovered from their possession. Learned counsel further contended that Petitioner- Rohit Bhola is in custody since 14.07.2025 and petitioner Vansh Rana is in custody since 23.07.2025. After completion of investigation, challan has already been presented in Court for trial. The trial is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude and, in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioners is not required and they deserve to be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioners have committed a heinous offence and in view of the gravity of the offence, they do not deserve the concession of bail.

7. The offence, in question, was committed by two unknown persons who fired shots at Aman Hotel, Shahabad and placed one slip with a mobile number. While going away, they fired outside the hotel as well and at some vehicles parked nearby. Both the petitioners were not amongst the persons who had fired the shots and their names have been disclosed by co-accused as their accomplices in hatching the conspiracy. Only one motorcycle has been recovered from accused Rohit Bhola, which allegedly was used in arranging logistics. Petitioner Rohit Bhola is in custody since 14.07.2025 and petitioner Vansh Rana is in custody since 23.07.2025. After completion of investigation, challan has already



been presented in Court for trial. The trial is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude and, in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioners is not required and they deserve to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case file.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

19.12.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No