



CRM-M-64664-2024
Date of decision: 11.03.2025

....PETITIONER

Versus

...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Amardeep Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
26	22.05.2024	419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC	NRI, District Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 03.02.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 03.02.2025.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Davinder Singh, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-64664-2024

2

4. During the course of hearing on 03.02.2025, following order was passed:

“ Heard.

Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner is innocent and has no concern with the General Power of Attorney dated 31.01.2023 given in favour of Manpreet Singh. He contends that the Manpreet Singh had given Special Power of Attorney in favour of the petitioner dated 13.03.2023, which has been executed in accordance with law and on the basis thereof, the petitioner had executed the sale deed dated 11.10.2023 in favour of Surinder Kaur. The petitioner has no relation either with Manpreet Singh or said Surinder Kaur. He contends that even during the pendency of the proceedings, the complainant had effected compromise with the purchaser of the property namely Surinder Kaur. He further contends that neither the Special Power of Attorney executed in favour of the petitioner nor the sale deed executed by him in favour of Surinder Kaur are fabricated or forged in any manner. He further submits that the petitioner has been made a scapegoat in the matter by the Police without arresting the main culprit who is roaming free.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Devender Singh has not disputed the factum that as per the record, the Power of Attorney given in favour of the petitioner and also the sale deed are valid documents. It is also not disputed that the complainant has already effected compromise with Surinder Kaur in July 2024.

Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the

CRM-M-64664-2024

3

satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.
List on 24.02.2025.”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 03.02.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 03.02.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.
6. The petition stands allowed.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

11.03.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |