



**135 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-7544-2024

Date of decision : 24.04.2025

Smt. Ranjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

Bhupinder Verma

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sachin Ohri, Advocate
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner and respondent are wife and husband. The petitioner filed a suit for grant of decree of permanent injunction against the respondent. During the pendency of the suit, she filed an application for permission to amend the plaint on the ground that the suit property was purchased in the year 2005 with the help of money saved by her and her father, who contributed the money from his retirement dues. The application has been dismissed by the trial Court on the ground that the plaintiff has not pleaded due diligence and she wants to make out a totally new case.

2. The suit was instituted in the year 2023. It has been specifically pleaded by the plaintiff that the injunction suit was filed in hurry and therefore, she wants to amend the plaint. Moreover, the dispute is between the wife and husband. In para 4, she has asserted the reasons for her failure to file proper



suit.

- 3. Admittedly, the petitioner is residing in some part of the property.
- 4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the impugned order is set aside. The petitioner is permitted to amend the plaint and add relief of declaration.
- 5. With these observations, the revision petition is allowed.

24.04.2025

neeraj

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

Yes

Yes

No

No