



228

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63928-2024**Date of Decision: 29.01.2025**

Pushpender @ Polard

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. J.P.Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.201 dated 15.06.2024 registered under Sections 147, 149, 436 of IPC, at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific role has been attributed to the present petitioner and the present FIR has been registered as a counter blast to the FIR No.193 dated 08.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) lodged by the uncle of the petitioner against the complainant party. Learned counsel further contends that in the present case, it has been falsely alleged that the petitioner and his co-accused had set the house of the complainant on fire and no injury was suffered by the complainant in the present case.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. He submits that 14 accused have already been arrested in the present case and the investigation has



been handed over to one SIT, which is headed by DSP, City, Narnaul. He further submits that the investigation in the present case is almost complete qua the petitioner and the final report is likely to be presented soon.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 11.11.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 02 months and 16 days. Moreover, one criminal case i.e. FIR No.193 dated 08.06.2024 has also been got registered by uncle of the petitioner against the complainant party. Thus, the veracity of the allegations levelled by the complainant is yet to be adjudicated by the trial Court during the course of trial.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

29.01.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No