



CRM-M-64421-2024 (O&M)

-1-

298 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-64421-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.02.2025

ROHIT BAWA @ ROHIT AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Karanvir Singh, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 8.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.0082, dated 14.06.2024, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa, and all the other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.11.2024 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the petitioners and the private respondents.

2. The following order was passed on 19.12.2024:

"The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.82, dated 14.06.2024, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa, and all the other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise deed dated 27.11.2024 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the petitioners and the private respondents.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.1 and waives service.



Mr. Karanveer Singh, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 to 8, through a validly executed power of attorney in his favour. The same is taken on record. He submitted that he has no objection in case the FIR (supra) is quashed on the basis of compromise (supra).

In view of above, the parties are directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court concerned, to get their respective statements recorded regarding compromise, and after recording their respective statements, the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate concerned, is directed to send the same alongwith its report, regarding the genuineness of compromise, on or before the next date of hearing in the present petition specifically with regard to the following facts:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender;*
- 3. Stage of the trial/proceedings.*
- 4. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence and*
- 5. Total number of victims and their names.*

It is made clear that complainant/private respondents should also appear in person before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate concerned, to get his statement recorded regarding the compromise.

To come up on 20.02.2025.

The State counsel is also directed to verify the factum of compromise by the date fixed.

A copy of this order be sent to the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

CRM-M-64421-2024 (O&M) -3-

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0082, dated 14.06.2024, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

20.02.2025	(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
Ajay Goswami	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No