

2025:PHHC:157224



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-62561-2025
Date of decision: 13.11.2025

HARDEEP SINGH ALIAS SABHI ALIAS HEERA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Malkiat S. Hundal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant second petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 155 dated 14.07.2025, registered under Section(s) 21/29/61/85 of NDPS Act at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

2. As per the case of the prosecution, co-accused-Sarabjit Singh @ Sabbi and the petitioner-Hardeep Singh @ Heera were apprehended by the police party on 14.07.2025. Recovery of 10 grams of heroin was effected from the petitioner herein. During investigation, the petitioner and the co-accused suffered a disclosure that they had purchased the recovered heroin from one

Navdeep Singh @ Jyoti, who was also arrested on 14.07.2025 and a recovery of alleged drug money to the tune of Rs.1,770/- was effected from him.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that only 10 grams of heroin was recovered from the petitioner, which falls within the non-commercial quantity category, and that the alleged drug money was recovered from the co-accused Navdeep Singh @ Jyoti, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 12.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-62317-2025. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been in custody for more than three months and the trial is likely to take considerable time to conclude.

4. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the fact that the alleged recovery of Heroin is of non-commercial quantity, that the trial is likely to take long time to conclude and the fact that the co-accused Navdeep Singh @ Jyoti has already been granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 12.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-62317 of 2025, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.
9. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

NOVEMBER 13, 2025
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No