



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-64187-2024

Date of decision: January 20th, 2025

Paras

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. S.S. Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Davinder Kaliraman, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.149 dated 21.11.2024 under Sections 115 (2), 309 (4), 309 (6), 317 (2) of the BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station West Sector 11, Chandigarh.

2. Vide order dated 19.12.2024 passed by a coordinate Bench, the petitioner had been granted interim anticipatory bail with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for petitioner argued that he is falsely implicated in this case. Complainant Kuldeep Singh has already given affidavit in favour of present petitioner that he was not present at the time of alleged occurrence. Copy of affidavit dated 29.11.2024 is Annexure P-2. Petitioner is a student, in case he is sent behind the bars, his entire career will be ruined. Copy of his identity card is annexed as Annexure P-3. Even otherwise, alleged vehicle i.e. Jimmy bearing registration No.T-11- 24-HR-5463 which was forcibly snatched has been recovered and two of

the accused arrested by the police namely Bhanu Partap and Kartik are also released on bail. Present petitioner is also ready to join investigation and will fully cooperate.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 19.12.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
4. Learned standing counsel for U.T. has placed on record status report by way of affidavit of DSP/SDPO (Central), Police Station Sector 17 Chandigarh. It has not been disputed by the learned standing counsel that the petitioner has joined investigation, however, it has been submitted, on instructions, and also while referring to the affidavit, which has been filed that the petitioner had failed to disclose the whereabouts of co-accused Rahul, who is on the run.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the relevant material on record.
6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 19.12.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

January 20th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No