



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(217)

CRM-M-62122-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 12.11.2025

Angrej Singh

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Malkiat S. Hundal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this second petition under Section 483 of BNSS is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.117 dated 03.12.2023 under Sections 25/54/49 of the Arms Act, Sections 10, 11, 12 of the Air Craft Act, 1934 and later on added offence under Sections 21(c), 29, 27(a), 61, 85 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on the basis of secret information a police party raided the house of Major Singh (since granted the concession of bail vide order dated 25.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-45088-2025) where two persons were found sitting in the room. On seeing

the police party they tried to run away but were apprehended. On being



questioned they disclosed their names as Gurmej Singh (since granted the concession of bail vide order dated 31.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-59705-2025) and Major Singh. The recovery of a 9MM (Glock) pistol along with a magazine was effected from Gurmej Singh. On the basis of their disclosure statements, the recovery of 300 grams of heroin came to be effected along with drug money of Rs.2,25,000/-.

3. During the course of the investigation, Angrej Singh (petitioner) was nominated as an accused. He got recovered 264 grams of heroin.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is a violation of the mandatory provisions of the Act regarding the search and seizure. No independent witness of recovery was joined during the course of the recovery proceedings. Since the recovery of 264 grams of heroin is marginally above the commercial quantity of 250 grams, the petitioner is a first-time offender, in custody since 05.07.2024 and only 05 of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. Therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail, moreso, when two co-accused, namely, Gurmej Singh and Major Singh have been granted the concession of bail.

5. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the offences of this kind are on the rise and commercial quantity of contraband has been recovered from the accused because of which Section 37 of the Act would be a bar to the grant of bail. He, however, concedes that the petitioner is a first-time offender, in custody since 05.07.2024, only 05 of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far and that two co-accused have been granted the similar relief of bail.



6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. In the cases of '*Sukhchain Singh @ Manga Versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-7857-2022 decided on 04.04.2022, Pardeep Singh versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-46244-2022 decided on 19.01.2023, Hari Yadav @ Haiya versus State of Punjab (CRM-M-37645-2021)*' *decided on 11.11.2022, 'Jang Kanwar Versus State of Punjab (CRM-M-53415-2021)' decided on 19.01.2022, 'Shankar Prashad Chanau Versus The State of Punjab, CRM-M-24090-2020, decided on 27.08.2020, Gurpreet Kumar Versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-17021-2021, decided on 31.08.2021, Salim Versus State of Haryana, CRM-M-42436-2020, decided on 24.02.2021, Gagandeep Versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-3055-2021, decided on 27.01.2021, Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi Versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-41039-2019, decided on 26.02.2020, Dalbara Singh Versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-47880-2022 decided on 16.01.2023', and Vivek Watts versus State of Punjab, CRM-M-13791-2022 decided on 15.02.2023*, where the recovery from the accused was marginally above the commercial quantity for the respective contraband in each case, the Court granted bail to the accused therein.

8. In the present case, the alleged recovery from the petitioner is of 264 grams of heroin which is marginally above the commercial quantity of 250 grams. The petitioner is a first time offender with no other case under the NDPS Act registered against him. In this situation, the provisions of Section 37 of the NDPS Act can be relaxed to an extent and the case of the petitioner can be considered for the grant of bail, moreso, when he is in custody since 05.07.2024 but only 05 of the 24 prosecution witnesses have



been examined so far and two co-accused have been granted the concession of bail.

9. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Angrej Singh is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

10. The petitioner shall appear on the first Monday of every month before the police station concerned till the conclusion of the trial and furnish an affidavit each time that he is not involved in any other case except the present one under the NDPS Act.

11. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from Trial without sufficient cause.

12. The petition stands disposed of.

13. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

November 12, 2025
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No